

FILED

JUL 21 2006

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MIGUEL FLORES GONZALEZ; et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 06-70420

Agency Nos. A95-443-700

A95-443-701

A95-443-702

A95-443-703

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

July 17, 2006**

Before: B. FLETCHER, HAWKINS and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Respondent's unopposed motion for summary disposition is granted because the questions raised by this petition for review are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(2) (establishing time and

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

06-70420

numerical limits for motions to reopen); *see also United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard). Accordingly, this petition for review is denied.

All other pending motions are denied as moot. The temporary stay of removal confirmed by Ninth Circuit General Order 6.4(c) shall continue in effect until issuance of the mandate. The motion for stay of voluntary departure, filed after the departure period had expired, is denied. *See Garcia v. Ashcroft*, 368 F.3d 1157 (9th Cir. 2004).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.